



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,636	09/08/2003	John Hope	HOPE-2	5211
1054	7590	02/10/2009	EXAMINER	
LEONARD TACHNER, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E IRVINE, CA 92614			LEVI, DAMEON E	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2841			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/10/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/659,636	Applicant(s) HOPE, JOHN
	Examiner DAMEON E. LEVI	Art Unit 2841

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/21/2007(PET), 01/25/2006(Amendment).
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwenk et al. (US 5,294,748).

Regarding claim 1, Schwenk et al discloses an apparatus for electromagnetically isolating a computer from the surrounding environment for preventing a transfer of electrical and magnetic field energy between the computer and the environment, the apparatus comprising, an enclosure having a plurality of metal panels (2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5') having overlapping edges fastened to one another and forming an internal chamber configured for receiving a computer, a plurality of shielding

Art Unit: 2841

gaskets (17,17', 19) positioned along said overlapping edges across all seams and gaps between said panels.

Schwenk et al does not mention that the at least one of said panels having a plurality of non standard connectors for electrical connection of cables to external peripheral computer devices and interfaced with standard connectors for electrical connection with said enclosure to said computer.

However, Schwenk et al teaches that the enclosure is for receiving electronic components and providing high frequency shielding for the electronic components.

The panels necessarily would have connectors for allowing electrical connection of cables to external peripheral computer devices and to a power source for the electronic component to operate and function as intended. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide electrical connectors for connection to external peripheral devices for the electronic computer to operate and function as intended. Any number of electrical connectors which may be provided may be considered to be "non standard" as "*non standard*" is a relative term. Most connectors may be considered to be non standard to at least some extent to ensure connectability within the system in which they are used.

Regarding claim 3, a plurality of brackets (1,1') are affixed in said internal chamber for securing said computer within said enclosure.

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 4, the brackets are positioned for supporting the computer and would necessarily locate said computer at a selected distance from the non standard connectors.

Claims 2, 6, 7-9, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwenk et al. (US 5,294,748).

Regarding claim 2, Schwenk et al does not disclose filtered cable assemblies within said enclosure for connecting said non standard connectors to said computer, however, although it is not mentioned, it would have been necessary to provide cables assemblies to connect to the connectors for operation of the electronic component. Furthermore, use of filtered cable assemblies is expedient in the art for providing effective electromagnetic interference shielding.

Regarding claim 6, Schwenk et al only mentions that the panels are metal. It would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that to provide metallic panels formed from heavy gauge steel for providing structural support to the enclosure. Furthermore, this material is readily available, cost-effective, corrosion-resistant, and easily formed into panels.

Regarding claim 7-9, 11-12 please refer to the rejection above.

Regarding claim 13, providing in a power supply a noise or EMC filter for filtering the noise component is expedient in the art.

Claims 2, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwenk et al. (US 5,294,748) in view of Mitchell et al. (US 6,211,458 B1).

Regarding claim 2, Schwenk et al does not disclose that at least one of said panels comprises an integral air filter for allowing air flow between said internal chamber and said environment.

However, Mitchell discloses that it is known to provide air filters 10 which provide electromagnetic shielding for cooling purposes. Such a filter when installed would be integral with the panels of the enclosure.

It would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that to provide an air filter as taught by Mitchell in the enclosure of Schwenk to provide cooling to the electronic components provided in the enclosure to provide air circulation and to prevent overheating of the electronic components.

Regarding claim 10, please refer to the above rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAMEON E. LEVI whose telephone number is (571)272-2105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. (9:00 - 5:00) IFP, Fridays Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dameon E Levi
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2841

/Dameon E Levi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841